UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,379	05/05/2006	Anne Ferreol	4590-519	6614
33308 7590 04/15/2008 LOWE HAUPTMAN & BERNER, LLP			EXAM	IINER
1700 DIAGON.	AL ROAD, SUITE 300		MULL, FRED H	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3662	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/578,379	FERREOL ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
FRED H. MULL	3662

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

• •	•
The amendment document filed on <u>14 March 2008</u> is considered non- requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment doc tem(s) is required.	
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMEN  1. Amendments to the specification:  A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings.  B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined.  C. Other	T DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:
<ul> <li>2. Abstract:</li> <li>A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72.</li> <li>B. Other</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>☐ 3. Amendments to the drawings:</li> <li>☐ A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top n         "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d)</li> <li>☐ B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correct showing amended figures, without markings, in con</li> <li>☐ C. Other</li> </ul>	tion has been eliminated. Replacement drawings
<ul> <li>✓ 4. Amendments to the claims:</li> <li>☐ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present.</li> <li>☐ B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all  </li> <li>☐ C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper sof each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status number by using one of the following status identified (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (With</li> <li>☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been </li> <li>☑ E. Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	pending claims (including withdrawn claims) status identifier, and as such, the individual status s of every claim must be indicated after its claim ers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), drawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in a	accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR	1.121, see MPEP § 714.
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:	
<ol> <li>Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amer filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-com entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.</li> </ol>	
2. Applicant is given <b>one month</b> , or thirty (30) days, whichever is low correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following (including a submission for a request for continued examination (I amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103 Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the cornon-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.	g: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental (a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle ac	
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:  Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amer filed in response to a Quayle action; or  Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment.	
/Fred H. Mull/, Examiner	571-272-6975
Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable	Telephone No.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Continuation of 4(e) Other: The text on the final line of p. 2 does not correspond to the text in previous claim 11. Changes in the claim should be made through strikethough, double brackets, and/or underlining. If the new language of claim 11 is kept, new claim 11 does not correspond to allowable old claim 11, and applicant must provide arguments indicating why the new claim is patentable of the cited prior art. Also note that the objection to claim 10, line 9 in item 1 of the prevous Office Action has not been addressed in new claim 11..

/Thomas H. Tarcza/ SPE Art Unit 3662